



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,459	11/03/2003	Paul R. Labute	6824-1	3455
39196	7590	09/10/2008	EXAMINER	
SHLESINGER, ARKWRIGHT & GARVEY LLP			WHALEY, PABLO S	
1420 KING STREET				
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/699,459	LABUTE, PAUL R.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	PABLO WHALEY	1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PABLO WHALEY. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) TERRENCE BROWN (APPL. REP.). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 2 and 13-15.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the Notice of Non-compliant amendment mailed April 24, 2008.

Confirmed that the claim identifier for claim 2 which stated "elected" is improper. Confirmed that newly added claims 13-15 lacked any claim identifiers, and should be amended by adding the claim identifier "new" to claims 13-15.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Pablo S. Whaley/ Patent Examiner, AU 1631
--	---